

REMARKS

Claims 1 and 5-10 remain pending in this application with claims 1, 5 and 6 being amended and claims 2-4 being cancelled by this response.

Objection to Claim 4

Claim 4 is objected to as failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. In response to the comments of the Examiner, claim 4 has been cancelled. In view of the cancellation of claim 4, it is respectfully submitted that this objection is now moot and should be withdrawn.

Rejection of claims 1-10 under 35 USC 112, second paragraph

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph as being indefinite. Claim 1 has been amended in accordance with the comments of the Examiner to more clearly recite that the pair of opposing frame anchor assemblies extend from said transverse channel. It is respectfully submitted that the amendments to claim 1 are made for purposes of clarification. In view of the amendments to claim 1, it is respectfully submitted that this rejection is satisfied and should be withdrawn.

Rejection of Claims 1, 2 and 10 under 35 USC 102(b)

Claims 1, 2 and 10 are rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Field. Claim 3 has been indicated as allowable. Claim 1 has been amended to include the limitations of claims 2 and 3. Claims 2 and 3 have been cancelled. In view of the amendments to claim 1 to include the features of claims 2 and 3 it is respectfully submitted that claim 1 is now allowable. Claim 10 is dependent on claim 1 and thus, it is respectfully

submitted that claim 10 is now also allowable. In view of the amendments to claim 1, the dependence of claim 10 on claim 1 and the cancellation of claims 2 and 3, it is respectfully submitted that this rejection is satisfied and should be withdrawn.

Rejection of Claim 9 under 35 USC 103(a)

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Field. Claim 3 has been indicated as allowable. Claim 1 has been amended to include the limitations of claims 2 and 3. Claims 2 and 3 have been cancelled. In view of the amendments to claim 1 to include the features of claims 2 and 3 it is respectfully submitted that claim 1 is now allowable. Claim 9 is dependent on claim 1 and thus, it is respectfully submitted that claim 9 is now also allowable. In view of the amendments to claim 1, the dependence of claim 9 on claim 1 and the cancellation of claims 2 and 3, it is respectfully submitted that this rejection is satisfied and should be withdrawn.

As all claims now pending are allowable, it is respectfully requested that this application now be allowed to issue.


Should the Examiner consider necessary or desirable any formal changes anywhere in the specification, claims and/or drawings, then it is respectfully asked that such changes be made by Examiner's amendment, if the Examiner feels this would facilitate passage of the case to issuance.

Alternatively, should the Examiner have any questions, comments, or feel that a personal discussion might be helpful in advancing this case to allowance and issuance, he is cordially invited to contact Mr. Michael Kroll, Esq. at 171 Stillwell Lane, Syosset, New York 11791, telephone number (516) 367-7777, so that the present application can receive an early notice of allowance. The address and telephone number for Michael I. Kroll stated

above is as listed on the original Power of Attorney.

Please charge any fee due and not covered by an enclosed check to Deposit Account
No. 500716.

Respectfully submitted,
Todd Duke

By 
Michael I. Kroll
Reg. No. 26,755
Attorney for Applicant

171 Stillwell Lane
Syosset, New York 11791
Tel. No. (516) 367-7777
Fax No. (516) 692-2787

Dated: 